

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,623	02/02/2004	Israel Stol	98-2161 [370054-00011]	2311	
8840	7590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER		
	EAMANS CHERIN & ME HNICAL CENTER	PEDDER, DENNIS H			
100 TECHNIC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALCOA CEN	TER, PA 15069-0001		3612		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/770,62	3	STOL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	h			
		Dennis H.		3612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ess			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for rep	ATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication.  days, a reply within the statuatory period will apply and will lill, by statute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a re atory minimum of thirty Il expire SIX (6) MONT ication to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of the mailin	nunication.			
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This action is no or allowance except	for formal matte		nerits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-35 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 20</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	004 is/are: a) ☐ acc ion to the drawing(s) b the correction is require	e held in abeyand ed if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority downward Copies of the priority downward Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Apents have been a e 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	tage			
2) Notice	ot <b>(s)</b> Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Dramation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/2004.		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/770,623

Art Unit: 3612

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 29-35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5,8-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,698,809.

  Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the distinction is the replacement of friction welding with flash welding and per MPEP 2113, processes are not given patentable weight in a product claim. Further, both processes are disclosed in the applicant's disclosure as known processes and therefore being known in this art cannot be a patentable distinction.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Application/Control Number: 10/770,623 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-17, 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over claims 1-17, 19-28 of U.S. Patent 6,598,923.

The claims of the patent differ only in the use of flash welding, the patent claims being silent as to the process used. Process claims are not given patentable weight in a product claim MPEP 2113, however this is an admitted known technique as evidenced by applicant's specification, rendering even the process not a patentable distinction.

See MPEP 706.02 (I)(2) to establish common ownership at the time the invention was made in order to overcome this rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,623 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

## **Drawings**

7. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the details of claim 18 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

DHP 2/28/2005